

REMARKS

Claims 1-50 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 23 and 29 have been amended, claims 51-52 have been added and claims 3, 28 and 30 have been canceled without prejudice by the present Amendment. Amended claims 1, 23 and 29 and new claims 51-52 do not introduce any new subject matter.

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

The Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a), stating that "the 'multiplexer for selecting one of the first and second programs for display' claimed in claim 6 must be shown" because the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

In response to the objection, Applicant proposes to amend Figure 7 by adding "multiplexers 133". Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection to the drawings.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84, Applicant files herewith a replacement drawing sheet for amended Figure 7, which has been labeled "Replacement Sheet".

Further, Applicant has amended the specification to refer to the drawing change and to correct a typographical error. No new matter has been added by the amendments to the drawings and the specification.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER AND CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Applicant notes that claims 38-50 have been allowed and that claims 3-7, 9 and 29-30 include allowable subject matter.

The Examiner objects to claims 3-7, 9 and 29-30 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, and indicates that claims 3-7, 9 and 29-30 would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base and any intervening claims.

In response to the Examiner's objection, Applicant has canceled claim 3, and has rewritten independent claim 1 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 3. In addition, Applicant has canceled claims 28 and 30, and has rewritten independent claim 23 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 30 and intervening claim 28.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that, for at least the reason of the amendments to independent claims 1 and 23, claims 1 and 23 and claims 4-7 and 9 and claim 29 respectively dependent thereon no longer depend from a rejected base claim.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection to claims 4-7, 9 and 29, claims 3 and 30 having been canceled.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 8, 10, 11, 13-21, 23-27, 32, 34, 35 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,216,927 ("Meritt"), claim 28 having been canceled.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the . . . claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989); M.P.E.P. § 2131.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1 and 23 as amended and the claims

dependent thereon are not anticipated by Meritt.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 3, namely, a wireless transmitter that wirelessly transmits at least one of a first video signal and a first audio signal to at least one other display. Accordingly, claim 1 is not anticipated by the cited reference.

Claims 8, 10, 11 and 13-21 depend from claim 1, which, for the reason stated hereinabove, is submitted not to be anticipated by the cited reference. For at least that very same reason, claims 8, 10, 11 and 13-21 are also submitted not to be anticipated by the cited reference.

Claim 23 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 30, specifically, a wireless transmitter capable of simultaneously transmitting each of a plurality of wireless signals on a different channel and at a different frequency. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 23 is not anticipated by Meritt.

Claims 24-27, 32, 34, 35 and 37 depend from claim 23, which, for the reason stated hereinabove, is submitted not to be anticipated by the cited reference. For at least that very same reason, claims 24-27, 32, 34, 35 and 37 are also submitted not to be anticipated by the cited reference.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1, 8, 10, 11, 13-21, 23-27, 32, 34, 35 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), claim 28 having been canceled.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 2, 8 and 10-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,380,978 ("Adams").

Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 as amended and claims 2, 8 and 10-12 are not anticipated by Adams. As stated above, claim 1 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 3. Accordingly, claim 1 is not anticipated by the cited reference.

Claims 2, 8 and 10-12 depend from claim 1, which, for the reason stated hereinabove, is submitted not to be anticipated by the cited reference. For at least that very same reason, claims 2, 8 and 10-12 are also submitted not to be anticipated by the cited reference.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 2, 8 and 10-12 are not anticipated by Adams and respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1, 2, 8 and 10-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of (1) claims 2 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meritt in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,409,242 ("Chang"); (2) claims 12, 22, 33 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meritt; and (3) claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams in view of Meritt.

Applicant respectfully submits that for at least the reason that independent claim 1 has been amended by the present Amendment to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 3, claim 1 and claims 2, 12, 13 and 22 dependent thereon are patentable over the cited references.

In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that for at least the reason that independent claim 23 has been amended by the present Amendment to incorporate the

allowable subject matter of claim 30, claim 23 and claims 31, 33 and 36 dependent thereon are patentable over the cited references.

As such, Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of claims 2, 12, 13, 22, 31, 33 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

NEW CLAIMS 51-52

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner accept and allow new claims 51 and 52. Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, when taken alone or in combination, at least do not disclose or suggest “a wireless transmitter electrically coupled to the at least one media player for wirelessly transmitting at least one of the first video signal and the first audio signal, wherein the wireless transmitter wirelessly transmits at least one of the first video signal and the first audio signal to at least one other display”, as recited in new claim 51.

Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, when taken alone or in combination, at least do not disclose or suggest “an assembly housing for supporting the at least one media player and the at least one display, wherein the at least one display is capable of being mounted to the assembly housing in a first position and a second position reversed from the first position”, as recited in new claim 52. See, e.g., page 15, lines 11-17, and Figs. 1 and 8.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that new claims 51 and 52 are patentable over the cited references and that new claims 51 and 52 are in condition for allowance.

An early and favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner has any further questions or comments, it is suggested that the Examiner telephone Applicant's Attorney to reach a prompt disposition of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Michael F. Morano", is written over a horizontal line.

Michael F. Morano
Reg. No. 44,952
Attorney for Applicant

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, NY 11779
(516) 692-8888